SUBJECT: Response to Questions Regarding Final Solicitation # STOCII-15-KOP-0001 for Live, Virtual, Constructive-Integrating Architecture (LVC-IA) Enhanced Capability (EC)

Ques #	Encl #	Document Name	Page #	Para #	Question	Answer
1	1	LVC-IA EC SOW	Page 4,	SOW 3.0	The RFP SOW gives guidance (3.0 requirements) that in addition to the 5 year technical refresh cycle,"in FY17-19 the program will provide this refresh as part of version 2.0 fielding." Does this mean that all prior fielded systems will be brought up to the hw/sw specification of V2 as defined and specified in the TDP SSDD V5 CDRL?	All prior fielded systems will be upgraded to the most current LVC-IA hardware and software version (V2 or V3) being fielded as specified in the latest SSDD. Tech refresh starts in FY17.
2	1	LVC-IA EC SOW	Page 4,	SOW 3.0	The RFP SOW gives guidance (3.0 requirements) that in addition to the 5 year technical refresh cycle,"in FY17-19 the program will provide this refresh as part of version 2.0 fielding." Where should the proposed solutions assert the material costs associated with this full fielded system upgrade and is procurement/costing the scope of the contractor? If contractor is to procure for this 2 yr refresh cycle, where does the cost get placed into section B: 1) in the base period of performance Material CLINs or 2) the Technology Refresh CLINs?	Refer to Encl 4, LVC-IA EC CLIN Requirements Crosswalk, to determine where specific activities should be priced. If it is a tech refresh activity, refer to Encl 4, LVC-IA EC CLIN Requirements Crosswalk, Technology Refresh Site x CLINs, CWBS dictionary column for explanation of CLIN pricing for tech refresh. If it is a Version Upgrade only, then refer to the Materials CLINs for PDSS efforts.
3	1	LVC-IA EC SOW		SOW 3.3.10	Section 3.3.10 states: "The Contractor shall provide support for the transfer of ICS responsibility to the government or another Contractor. Support shall include those services required to ensure the effective, efficient transfer of responsibility as well as technical data, tools and test equipment and repair and spare parts, in sufficient detail and coverage to enable other personnel with comparable skills to maintain the	a. This portion of the paragraph refers to Transition Phase Out activities at the end of this contract to transition sustainment support responsibilities to the follow on sustainment contractor. The SOW has been updated to clearly delineate what are Phase In and Phase Out activities within this paragraph. b. No.

						system. The transition effort shall include an analysis of all failures and maintenance actions undertaken during the interim support and revising technical publications subject to Government approval to reflect actual fielded experience. The support transfer shall include 100 percent of provisioned items." It appears that the part of the paragraph beginning with "The Contractor shall provide support for the transfer of ICS responsibility …" does not deal with contract transition. Can the government clarify this paragraph for transition? Does this refer to the transition of existing contractor to new contractor?	
4	1	LVC-IA EC SOW		SOW 3.3.10		Section 3.3.10 states: "The Contractor shall provide support for the transfer of ICS responsibility to the government or another Contractor. Support shall include those services required to ensure the effective, efficient transfer of responsibility as well as technical data, tools and test equipment and repair and spare parts, in sufficient detail and coverage to enable other personnel with comparable skills to maintain the system. The transition effort shall include an analysis of all failures and maintenance actions undertaken during the interim support and revising technical publications subject to Government approval to reflect actual fielded experience. The support transfer shall include 100 percent of provisioned items." It appears that the part of the paragraph beginning with "The Contractor shall provide support for the transfer of ICS responsibility …" does not deal with contract transition Can the government clarify this paragraph for transition? Does this refer to the	a. This portion of the paragraph refers to Transition Phase Out activities at the end of this contract to transition sustainment support responsibilities to the follow on sustainment contractor. The SOW has been updated to clearly delineate the Phase In and Phase Out activities within this paragraph. b. No.

						transition of V1 or V2 fielding to site support contractor/personnel?	
5	1	LVC-IA EC SOW		SOW 3.3.10		Section 3.3.10 states: "The Contractor shall provide support for the transfer of ICS responsibility to the government or another Contractor. Support shall include those services required to ensure the effective, efficient transfer of responsibility as well as technical data, tools and test equipment and repair and spare parts, in sufficient detail and coverage to enable other personnel with comparable skills to maintain the system. The transition effort shall include an analysis of all failures and maintenance actions undertaken during the interim support and revising technical publications subject to Government approval to reflect actual fielded experience. The support transfer shall include 100 percent of provisioned items." It appears that the part of the paragraph beginning with "The Contractor shall provide support for the transfer of ICS responsibility …" does not deal with contract transition. Can the government clarify this paragraph for transition? Does this refer to the transition out of this new acquisition to a follow-on contract?	a. This portion of the paragraph refers to Transition Phase Out activities at the end of this contract to transition sustainment support responsibilities to the follow on sustainment contractor. The SOW has been updated to clearly delineate what are Phase In and Phase Out activities within this paragraph. b. Yes.
6	1	LVC-IA EC SOW		SOW 3.3.10		Section 3.3.10 states: "The Contractor shall provide support for the transfer of ICS responsibility to the government or another Contractor. Support shall include those services required to ensure the effective, efficient transfer of responsibility as well as technical data, tools and test equipment and repair and spare parts, in sufficient detail and coverage to enable other personnel with comparable skills to maintain the system. The transition effort shall include an analysis	No. This is paragraph refers to the ICS tasks for this contract.

					of all failures and maintenance actions undertaken during the interim support and revising technical publications subject to Government approval to reflect actual fielded experience. The support transfer shall include 100 percent of provisioned items." Is this SOW element (3.3.10) related to SOW 3.3.6?	
7	1	LVC-IA EC SOW		SOW 3.6.1	Section 3.6.1 of the SOW states "The PDSS facility shall be able to achieve accreditation at the SECRET level for operation and storage within one year of contract award." Is the current LVC-IA software/hardware solution already accredited at the SECRET level? If it is, please provide the system's current Protection Profile or equivalent to help with the estimation of satisfying section 3.6.1 of the SOW.	The LVC-IA system (SW/HW) is accredited up to the SECRET level in accordance with its ATO (Authority to Operate). The current LVC-IA system has been designated as a MAC III (Mission Assurance Classification) Classified (Secret) system in accordance with AR 25-2.
8	1	LVC-IA EC SOW		SOW 3.6.1	Section 3.6.1 of the SOW states "The PDSS facility shall be able to achieve accreditation at the SECRET level for operation and storage within one year of contract award." Is the current LVC-IA software/hardware solution already accredited at the SECRET level? If it is, please provide the hardware baseline and network diagrams for the current PDSS to support the planning process.	The LVC-IA system (SW/HW) is accredited up to the SECRET level in accordance with its ATO (Authority to Operate). The hardware baseline and network diagrams for the current LVC-IA system can be found in the TDP, CDRL A004_SSDD.
9	1	LVC-IA EC SOW		SOW 3.2.3.5, 3.3.8	Is the "site support" in section 3.2.3.5 (Technology Development and Insertion) the same scope as in section 3.3.8 (Site Support) for exercises?	No, The site support in 3.2.3.5 refers to Software, cybersecurity updates and patches to the LVC-IA baseline, installation, and training to support the research efforts in integrating with/into LVC-IA.
10	1	LVC-IA EC SOW		SOW 3.2.3.5	"SOW states "The Contractor shall identify, develop and integrate new technologies … utilizing the PM ITE collaborative technology lab." Is this to be interpreted that the LVC-IA EC program/contractor can schedule time to utilize the collaborative technology lab for internal program use and	No.

					evaluations in addition to supporting RDECOM in their scheduled activities?"	
11	1 & 3	LVC-IA EC SOW; Section B		SOW 3.3.8 CLIN 0002	Question: Section B reflects CLIN 0002 Travel but it does not identify SOW 3.3.8 Site Support in the SOW/Paragraph Column. Is it intended that Site Support Travel requirements be priced within the Site Support CLINs (0022, 1022, 2022, 3022, and 4022) and NOT in CLINs 0002, 1002, 2002, 3002, and 4002? Please clarify.	Section B has been updated to reflect SOW 3.3.8 travel shall be priced under the travel CLINs x002.
12	1 & 3	LVC-IA EC SOW; Section B		SOW 3.0, section B option year 1 and option year 2 CLINs.	SOW 3.0 states that there will be a two year development cycle for V3 and V4: "two year development cycle each." However, There is only one year with a CLIN to support V4 development, option year 1 in section B attachment. Request the government clarify the development cycle requirements, or place a development CLIN in option year 2.	CLIN 1001, V4 Development, Integration & Test, is a 2 year performance period (25 Apr 18 - 24 Apr 20).
13	1 & 3	LVC-IA EC SOW		SOW 3.0 PDSS; section B	For proposal preparation, can we assume that V3 / fielding will require additional hw/sw/vm infrastructure to meet requirements over V2 configurations fielded during base PoP, will happen during Option yrs 1 and 2, and costs for hw/sw/vm infrastructure would be included in the Material CLINS	a. Any additional hw/sw/vm infrastructure for V3 will depend on each Offeror's solution. V3 fielding cannot start until V3 Dev, I & T activities are successfully completed. b. Refer to Encl 4, LVC-IA EC CLIN Requirements Crosswalk, CWBS dictionary column for explanation of CLIN pricing.
14	1 & 3	LVC-IA EC SOW		SOW 3.0 PDSS; section B	For proposal preparation, can we assume that existing GFE V2 product hw/sw/vm design will be procured and deployed to all sites during the base PoP and would be included in the Material CLINS	a. Dependent upon the Offeror's proposed solution, LVC-IA V2 hw/sw/vm may be procured and fielded to all sites on this contract, with the exception of 1 CONUS site, previously fielded during V2 FUA.

						b. Refer to Encl 4, LVC-IA EC CLIN Requirements Crosswalk, CWBS dictionary column for explanation of CLIN pricing.
15	1 & 3	LVC-IA EC SOW		SOW 3.0 PDSS; section B	So that the fielding and PDSS for V2 can be scoped, can the Government provide a list of sites fielded, with product / hw versions that will be conveyed at contract award and transition?	The list of LVC-IA BOIP sites is in SOW paragraph 3.0. LVC-IA V1.3.1 will have been fielded to all BOIP sites, with the exception of 1 CONUS site previously fielded with V2 during V2 FUA.
16	1 & 3	LVC-IA EC SOW; Section B		SOW 3.3.8; Section B	Please clarify the 8 exercise locations. How many of the 8 exercises will be conducted simultaneously?	The 8 exercises per year is an estimation of site support for planning purposes. Dates and specific sites are unknown at this time.
17	1, 3 & 4	LVC-IA EC SOW; Section B; LVC-IA EC CLIN Requirements Crosswalk		SOW 3.0; Section B	While stating the overall requirements for LVC-IA including the notional fielding plan, The first sentence in paragraph 3 ends with, "...; and potentially one site activation." Per Section B, the site activation SOW paragraphs are included in the CLINS for Development, Travel, and Materials as well as PDSS, however, per Attachment 4, Requirements cross-walk, there is no mention of site activation activities. Question: For pricing, should Offerors assume the one "potential" new site activation will occur, and if so, under what CLIN?	Yes, under the PDSS CLIN 0020. The LVC-IA EC CLIN Requirements Crosswalk had been updated accordingly.
18	1a	LVC-IA EC WBS Dictionary	8	WBS 1.7	WBS Element 1.7 is labeled Tech Refresh/Fielding which implies it covers both Tech Refresh and Fielding. However, the WBS dictionary definition provided clearly states these activities are in support of tech refresh. In addition, the definition of 1.7.1, Fielding, does not make a distinction with respect to Tech Refresh, nor does 1.7.7, Facilities, which includes language from SOW paragraph 3.5 regarding	The WBS 1.7 has been updated to delete the word "Fielding"; and 1.7.1 is renamed to Site Coordination. The LVC-IA Overview Schedule has been updated to delineate between Version upgrades and Sub-version upgrades. Upgrades fall under WBS 1.5 PDSS; Tech Refresh is under WBS 1.7.

					site activation. There is no other mention of fielding or site activation anywhere else in the WBS. Question: Should all site activation and fielding activities roll up under WBS 1.7 whether they are Tech Refresh, "new" installations, or even PDSS Upgrade fieldings?	Site activation type tasks fall under PDSS and Tech Refresh. The Offeror's solution will determine where some of the pricing will occur as to the timing of a Tech Refresh and/or PDSS Version Upgrade.
19	1a, 2 & 5	LVC-IA EC WBS_Dictionary; LVC-IA EC Proposal Submission Instructions and Eval Criteria; LVC-IA EC CDRL Report	Tab 2 – Proposal Matrix	All Volume 1, Line 12 B002	Requirement: *Encl 2 states "The Offeror shall expand upon the Government provided Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) (Attachment 1a) for the 24 month base period..."* Questions: Is it the government's intent to require offer's to expand the Government provided WBS and submit with the proposal in the same document/format as *Encl 1a* or in the format of *Encl 5* [i.e. CWBS (Format 1)]? If the latter, is the Government's intent for the CWBS (CDRL B002, Format 1) to simply be the CDRL B002, Format 1 in accordance with DI-MGMT-81861 with only items 1, 2, 3, and 8.a.(1) completed? Please clarify.	The Dictionary Description is also required, which is not supported by DI-MGMT-81861, Format 1, blocks 1, 2, 3, and 8.a.(1); therefore, the Offeror's should expand the Government provided WBS and submit with the proposal.
20	1c	LVC-IA version 1-4 Requirements Matrix		KPP1	The RFP requirements documents, KPP 1, V3 states "Provide a Common Operational Picture (COP) that portrays realistic C2 data for: Live HITS, Virtual AVCATT, CCTT, Constructive (per approved Constructive Strategy), and Gaming VBS3." How will the "approved Constructive Strategy" be provided to the LVCIA EC contractor for this effort?	Details of the final "approved constructive strategy" and how it might impact the LVC-IA EC will be discussed with the winning contractor once it's finalized by the Govt and an award is made. For purposes of responding to this RFP, the "approved constructive strategy" should not impact the LVCIA POR since the LVC-IA EC contractor is only responsible for the LVC-IA EC constructive TADSS interface which will not change from previous versions and is based on the JLTCCTC entity level HLA protocol.

21	1c	LVC-IA version 1-4 Requirements Matrix		KPP4	The RFP requirements documents, KPP 4, V4 states "The Integrated Architecture will have a 99 percent availability rate during 336 continuous hours of operation." Availability can be measured at the server level and at the individual workstation level. Please clarify how the Government will measure availability.	Availability shall be measured at the server level.
22	1c	LVC-IA version 1-4 Requirements Matrix		APA1	The RFP requirements documents, APA 1, V4 states "… systems in real time while maintain 95% data packet accuracy during transfer." Request thorough definition of packet accuracy in this context.	For v1 and v2, Gov't assessed the voracity of C2 message exchange by viewing the icons, tracks or messages as appropriate at each tactical device. Gov't and Contractor will collaborate to determine how to obtain meaningful results or measure this at the data packet level for v3 and v4.
23	2	LVC-IA EC Proposal Submission Instructions and Eval Criteria	Tab 2 – Proposal Matrix	Volume 5, Line 53	Requirement: *"An authorized official of the firm shall sign the Standard Form 33 and all certifications requiring original signature"*. Question: Taking into account that this solicitation is not being issued utilizing a formal SF33, is it a correct assumption that no signed SF33 or SF30's are required to be completed and signed by the Offeror? Please clarify.	Yes. Each Offeror shall complete blank lines and fill-ins. An authorized official of the firm shall sign all certifications requiring an original signature. An Adobe Acrobat file, ".pdf", shall be created to capture the signatures for submission. Encl 2, Tab 2 – Proposal Matrix, Volume 5, Line 53, has been revised.
24	2	LVC-IA EC Proposal Submission Instructions and Eval Criteria	Tab 2 – Proposal Matrix	Volume 5, Line 54	Requirement: *"Oral Presentation Attendees. The Offeror shall provide a list of the Oral Presentation Attendees."* Question: Should the Oral Presentation slides be placed into the Administrative volume as well? Please clarify.	Yes. The Offeror shall provide a list of the Oral Presentation Attendees and a copy of the Oral Presentation Slides. Encl 2, Tab 2 – Proposal Matrix, Volume 5, Line 54, has been revised.

25	2	LVC-IA EC Proposal Submission Instructions and Eval Criteria	Tab 2 – Proposal Matrix	Volume 2, Line 20	SOW Paragraphs: *"3.1.8, 3.1.10.5, 3.1.10.6, 3.1.11.6, 3.2-3.2.3.5, 3.2.5, 3.2.6.1"* Question: For SOW reference 3.2-3.2.3.5, are Offerors required to address all 10 pages of requirements or only those that specifically deal with cybersecurity? Please clarify.	Offerors shall address only those requirements that specifically deal with cybersecurity in SOW paragraphs 3.2.-3.2.3.5.
26	2	LVC-IA EC Proposal Submission Instructions and Eval Criteria	Tab 2 – Proposal Matrix	Volume 5, Line 51	The Government States that " If Offeror (or its global parent) does not file with the United States (US) Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), it shall submit the following as part of the Price Data Volume: (1) Financial statements (balance sheet, income statement and cash flow statement (with associated explanatory notes) for the last two completed fiscal years and the current year-to-date, (2) aged accounts payable and aged accounts receivable reports (with the same "as of" date as the current year-to-date financial statements) and (3) status of all financial institution loans and lines-of-credit (to include name and address of the creditor, face value of the instrument, unused amount, maturity/expiration date and any special terms or conditions). Also include same/similar information on any loans from officers, stockholders and any other (non-financial institution) entities." Will the government please consider requesting this information in a sealed package due to the sensitivity of the information?	The Offerors may submit this information in a sealed package. Encl 2, Tab 2 - Proposal Matrix, Volume 5, Line 51, has been revised.
27	2	LVC-IA EC Proposal Submission Instructions and Eval Criteria	Tab 2 – Proposal Matrix	Line 23, Tables and Figures	Will the government consider Arial Narrow 10 font acceptable for tables and graphics?	No.

28	2	LVC-IA EC Proposal Submission Instructions and Eval Criteria	Tab 2 – Proposal Matrix	Line 3, Oral Presentations	The RFP states that "The Offeror shall provide a list of all oral presentation attendees and affiliated company names to the Government, within Volume V, by the proposal date." Please clarify when the Oral Presentation slides are due to the Government.	Encl 2, Tab 2, Line 3 states "The written proposal and the slides for the oral presentation shall be due at the same time."; therefore, the slides shall be delivered with the proposal.
29	2	LVC-IA EC Proposal Submission Instructions and Eval Criteria	Tab 2 – Proposal Matrix,	Line 3, Oral Presentations	The RFP states that "The Offeror shall provide a list of all oral presentation attendees and affiliated company names to the Government, within Volume V, by the proposal date." Please clarify where in the proposal the Offeror shall provide the Oral Presentation slides.	Encl 2, Tab 2, Line 3 has been updated to include "The slides for the oral presentation and a list of all oral presentation attendees and affiliated company names must be submitted within Volume V."
30	2	Proposal Submission Instructions and Eval Criteria	Tab 2 – Proposal Matrix	Oral Presentation instructions row.	To aid in evaluation, will the government allow cross referencing from volumes to orals slides and vice versa?	Cross referencing per Encl 2, as stated below, are acceptable; however, no new information in the Oral Presentation will be evaluated, if not already provided in the written Proposal. "Identification of the corresponding Factor and Subfactor on each slide will assist the Government during evaluations" and "Insert the WBS Element and Proposal Reference (Volume, Page, Paragraph and Slide Numbers) in the Proposal Matrix below and return these pages with proposal submission"
31	2	LVC-IA EC Proposal Submission Instructions and Eval Criteria	Tab 2 – Proposal Matrix	All Volumes	Our team has already developed pink team versions of proposal volumes based upon the draft RFP. We strongly believe that more page count is required for all proposal volumes, even in conjunction with oral slides, to clearly convey a solution that will mitigate the government's need for lengthy EN cycles. We respectfully request that volume page counts be increased to VI: 30pgs, VII: 40pgs , VIII: 25pgs.	The Government believes that the current page limit is adequate and was provided in the Draft RFP for page count consideration; no requests to increase page count were received. Increasing it at this point may impact other Offeror's proposal preparation; therefore, page counts will not increase.

32	2	LVC-IA EC Proposal Submission Instructions and Eval Criteria	Tab 2 – Proposal Matrix	Volume 1, Line 11	The Instructions for volume I, factor 1, subfactor b, require submission of IMS and IMP, and further state that the IMS should cover the base PoP only. Should the IMP cover just the base PoP also?	No, the IMP should cover the entire 6 year performance period. The Offerors should plan a 6 year program. The Government will evaluate the detailed 2 year base period of the IMS as well as the entire IMP, which would include the events and relevant data associated with the 2 year base period as well as the remaining 4 option years. Encl 2, Tab 2, Volume 1, line 11 has been updated to clairify the 6 year performance period for the IMP.
33	2	LVC-IA EC Proposal Submission Instructions and Eval Criteria	Tab 2 – Proposal Matrix	Volume 1, Line 11	States: "The IMS shall cover the base period only (24 months). The Offeror's IMP shall be an event-driven plan that documents the significant accomplishments necessary to complete the work and ties each accomplishment to a key program event. The Offeror's IMS shall be an integrated and networked multi-layered schedule of program tasks required to complete the work effort captured in the IMP." Question: Since the IMS is an extension of the IMP and the IMS is to only cover the first 24 months, should the proposed IMP also only cover the events associated with the first 24 months of execution, or should offerors propose an IMP that covers events for the entire program including all options?	a. No, the IMP should cover the entire 6 year performance period. b. Yes, the IMP should cover the entire 6 year performance period. The Offerors should plan a 6 year program. The Government will evaluate the detailed 2 year base period of the IMS as well as the entire IMP, which would include the events and relevant data associated with the 2 year base period as well as the remaining 4 option years. Encl 2, Tab 2, Volume 1, line 11 has been updated accordingly.
34	3	Section B		Section B	Will procurement of ITE Collaborative Technology Laboratory LVC-IA EC products and systems be part of this contract and if so how will it be placed into CLINs for pricing?	No.
35	3	Section B		Section B	Option 0010-OPTION 0013: please clarify if these CLINs include HW/SW in addition to labor required to install equipment at the sites	Yes, Refer to Encl 4, LVC-IA EC CLIN Requirements Crosswalk, Technology Refresh Site x

						CLINs, CWBS dictionary column for explanation of CLIN pricing.
36	3	Section B		Section B, CLIN 0020	CLIN 0020: what is included in ODCs?	ODCs are other direct costs that are not considered labor, material or travel.
37	4	LVC-IA EC CLIN Requirements Crosswalk	All	All	The SOW Paragraph references for 3.5, 3.5.1, and 3.5.3 (Site activation, installation, and On-site acceptance tests) are only included in CLINS associated with Development, Travel, Materials, and PDSS. They seem to be missing from CLINS associated with Tech Refresh. Question: Does the government intend all site activation, installation, and on-site acceptance test activities to be conducted only in relation to development activities, or should these also be included with Tech Refresh activities/CLINS? (Note: All CLINS except PDSS are indicated as "Destination" acceptance in Section E of the RFP.)	Site activation SOW paragraphs have been removed from Development CLINs. Tech Refresh CLINs have been updated to include Site activation (as needed), installation and On-site acceptance test SOW paragraphs. Section B and Requirements Crosswalk have been updated.
38	9	Notional Schedule		Notional Schedule	From the notional schedule, it appears that transition and RA must overlap. Respectfully request that requirements analysis tasks and subsequent milestones are shifted to begin after turnover of responsibility from incumbent at end of 60 day transition.	The notional schedule helps to depict some of the Government test events, etc…Actual milestones are dependent on Offeror's execution approach to meeting program objectives.
39	14	TDP: PDSS GFE floor plan	Page 1		The PDSS GFE floor plan shows FEDSUN connectivity for the PDSS. Can the government please explain the FEDSUN requirement for the PDSS – how is the network utilized for the PDSS role? What sites are connected with the network?	a. FEDSUN, or comparable means, is required in order to connect with the manned modules (CCTT, AVCATT). b. Current connected networks include CCTT PDSS, AVCATT PDSS, JDIF lab, and soon to be GFT PDSS (at JDIF)

40	14	TDP: SEMP	viii - ix	Appendix B	Appendix B of the LVC-IA SEMP provides a list of tools used for engineering and management. Question: Are any of the tools listed in this Appendix to be provided as GFI? If so, which ones, how many copies, etc? Alternatively, can the government please provide a complete list of GFI (Software) to be provided similar to the GFP list?	Yes, it will be provided as GFI/GFP. Refer to TDP documents for relevant information, such as A00G, Software Version Description, and A007, Software Product Specification.
41	all	All Volumes	N/A		Please provide a diagram or spreadsheet that shows where the current LVC-IA contractor staff reside, i.e., which positions reside in the PDSS facility and which positions reside in the JDIF? (For example, where does the current LVC-IA PM for the contractor sit at to do his daily work? Where does the current LVC-IA Development Lead sit at to do his daily work?)	The Government will not provide the incumbent's current staffing approach. The Offeror's staffing and personnel locations are dependent upon the Offeror's execution approach.
42		Draft RFP Q&A	N/A		The Government states support of ITE Collaborative Technology Laboratory is to be considered as site support. Gov site QA on this topic says that support is the same as an LVCG site exercise which has a duration and scope. In order to cost this, how many ITE collaborative technology laboratory site support "events" will be required by period of performance?	The Draft RFP Q& A state "Consider the RRTB as a fielded site and provide "site support" to the efforts of the RRTB (e.g. Software (SW)/Cyber Security updates/patches to LVC-IA baseline, installation, and training) under the scope of Technology Insertion". The answer does not state "that support is the same as an LVCG site exercise which has a duration and scope". The site support for the ITE Collaborative Technology Laboratory refers to Software, cybersecurity updates and patches to the LVC-IA baseline, installation and training; typically less than supporting a site support exercise effort. Refer to Encl 10: LVC-IA Site Visit Brief for the current set of technology insertion efforts that require these types of support from LVC-IA.